

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/742,895	SUZUKI, KENZABURO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arnel C. Lavaras	2872

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowance

(1) Arnel C. Lavaras. (3) _____.

(2) Mitchell W. Shapiro (Applicant's representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 June 2006
Time: 11:20 AM
Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *n/a*.

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a
Claims discussed:

30-31

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Arnel C. Lavaras
 Patent Examiner
 Group Art Unit 2872

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested authorization for an examiner's amendment to correct some minor informalities in Claims 30-31. Authorization for the examiner's amendment was provided by Applicant's representative. Details of the amendments are in the attached Office Action.